A laser-driven nanosecond proton source for radiobiological studies
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Ion beams are relevant for radiobiological studies and for tumor therapy. In contrast to conventional accelerators, laser-driven ion acceleration offers a potentially more compact and cost-effective means of delivering ions for radiotherapy. Here, we show that by combining advanced acceleration using nanometer thin targets and beam transport, truly nanosecond quasi-monoenergetic proton bunches can be generated with a table-top laser system, delivering single shot doses up to 7 Gy to living cells. Although in their infancy, laser-ion accelerators allow studying fast radiobiological processes as demonstrated here by measurements of the relative biological effectiveness of nanosecond proton bunches in human tumor cells. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4769372]

Owing to their superior depth-dose profile, protons (or more generally ions) are a favorable choice in radiation therapy for cancer. Due to the high cost of conventional accelerators such as cyclotrons or synchrotrons, only a small number of ion beam facilities are in use worldwide. Laser-driven ion acceleration offers a potentially more compact and cost-effective means of delivering ion beams for radiotherapy1–3. This technology gained increased interest recently, especially since proton energies beyond 50 MeV were realized experimentally.4 However, the laser systems used to obtain these energies were rather large (typically with footprint areas of hundreds of square meters) with pulse energies of 20–500 J and were limited to single laser shots every 10–20 min. Nowadays, table-top femtosecond lasers with a repetition rate of 10 Hz and pulse energy of several J are available and continuously developing. By using such small and economic lasers, maximum proton energies of up to 40 MeV5–8 have been achieved. Recently emerged new acceleration mechanisms like radiation pressure acceleration3,9 predict higher ion energies and more efficient energy conversion from laser photons to ion kinetic energy.

Before clinical application of laser-driven ions can be considered, cell irradiation experiments with laser-driven beams7,10–12 constitute an important preliminary step to demonstrate the increasing maturity of this technology. In addition, the biological effectiveness of ultrashort-pulsed beams with nanosecond ion bunches of high charge compared to quasi-continuous beams from conventional accelerators can be assessed. In a more general context, time-resolved radiobiological studies with sub-nanosecond particle bunches might help to elucidate the ultrafast processes underlying the biological response of cells to ion irradiation.13 Here, we present a method to irradiate cells with truly nanosecond quasi-monoenergetic proton bunches with single shot doses of several Gy driven by a table-top high-power laser. This is in contrast to previous biological experiments with laser-driven beams that either used much broader energy spectra7,10,12 or that had to accumulate 10–20 shots over a few seconds or longer in order to obtain relevant doses above 1 Gy.7,10,11

Our experiments were performed using the ATLAS laser at Max-Planck-Institute for Quantum Optics in Garching. The ATLAS laser is a table-top (~15 m²) multi-TW Ti:Sapphire laser system based on chirped pulse amplification (CPA) delivering pulses with a duration of ~30 fs (full width at half maximum, FWHM) at 795 nm central wavelength. The typical intensity contrast is 10⁻⁵ at 2 ps before the peak of the pulse and was further enhanced to 10⁻⁸ utilizing a re-collimating double plasma mirror system with a reflectivity of 50% that is implemented in the laser. A 90° off-axis parabolic mirror (f/2) is used to focus the laser pulses with the remaining 0.4 J energy to a measured spot size of 3 μm FWHM diameter, yielding a peak intensity of 8 × 10¹⁹ W/cm², slightly lower than 1.2 × 10²⁰ W/cm² for a perfect Gaussian beam. The experiments were performed in single shot mode. Diamond-like carbon (DLC) foils14 with thicknesses of 20 and 40 nm were irradiated under normal incidence. Due to the co-moving nature of the ion dynamics in these thin targets,3 we obtained...
A hundred-fold higher proton fluence with 0.4 J laser energy compared to standard target normal sheath acceleration where 5 μm thick titanium foils and twice the laser energy were used (without plasma mirror; p-polarization; angle of incidence 45°). The maximum proton energy $E_{\text{max}}$ of the broad spectrum remains similar in both cases. However, the number of protons accelerated to energies between 5 and 6 MeV in a solid angle of 1 msr, which can be easily transported with our quadrupole setup, is significantly increased by a factor of 100 (Fig. 1).

The technical setup of our beamline is illustrated in Fig. 2. A miniature quadrupole (QP) doublet composed of permanent magnets with an aperture of 5 mm is inserted to focus protons with 5.2 MeV at a distance of 1.2 m. The first QP with a length of 36.0 mm and field gradient of 433 T/m was placed 12.4 mm behind the DLC foil, the second QP with length of 17.0 mm and a gradient of 505 T/m was separated by 7.7 mm. A circular aperture with 9 mm diameter was introduced 810 mm away from the target in front of a 100 mm long dipole magnet with 580 mT to deflect the proton bunch downwards. This avoids irradiation of the cell samples by X- or gamma-rays originating from the laser-target interaction, which cannot be shielded along the direct line of sight to the target. Our radiation monitors outside of the target chamber recorded such radiation, although suppressed by more than one order of magnitude compared to thick foil experiments. By removing the quadrupoles, which were mounted on motorized stages, the setup served as a proton spectrometer allowing optimization of the proton beam to achieve highest energies. A typical spectrum obtained by this procedure is displayed in Fig. 2. After the quadrupoles were inserted again, the setup produced an elliptical focus in the plane of the cells (1230 mm away from the target) with half axes of 0.45 mm and 5.2 mm at 50% of the maximum dose (Fig. 3) rotated by 40° with respect to the horizontal plane. The dose distribution was measured using self-developing radiochromic film (Gafchromic EBT2). See supplementary material for details of film dosimetry. The complete setup was tested for functionality at the Munich tandem accelerator (Maier Leibnitz Laboratory) employing a continuous beam of 9.8 MeV protons prior to implementation in the laser beamline.

The imaging properties of the complete setup including the quadrupoles, aperture and dipole magnet were calculated via a Monte-Carlo simulation revealing the design energy of 5.2 MeV in agreement with independent range measurements performed on the line focus. See supplementary material for details of proton transport simulations. Due to the chromaticity of the quadrupoles, the initially broad energy distribution measured without the quadrupoles narrows over propagation as depicted by normalized spectra at different positions in Fig. 2. At the plane of the cells, half the protons are contained within a band of 0.3 MeV. This results in a difference in time of flight of ~1 ns, which determines the proton bunch duration as it is much longer than the 30 fs laser pulse. Under best conditions, we achieved a maximum proton fluence of $5.1 \times 10^6$ mm$^{-2}$ (mean fluence over the area of the line focus within 50% of maximum dose: $3.3 \times 10^6$ mm$^{-2}$) in a single shot, which corresponds to a maximum (mean) dose of 7.1 Gy (4.6 Gy) at the position of the cells. The maximum dose amounts to a peak dose rate of $7 \times 10^9$ Gy/s over an interval of 1 ns.
To irradiate living cells in radiobiological experiments, the proton bunch leaves the vacuum through a 50 \( \mu \text{m} \) thick Kapton window and enters a customized cell holder. The Kapton foil was thick enough to completely stop a potential contribution of low-energy carbon ions which—if present in the primary beam at all—would be focused to the same point as 5.2 MeV protons depending on their charge and energy (e.g., C\(^{6+}\) ions with 1.3 MeV/u). Monolayers of human cervical cancer cells (HeLa) were exposed to protons generated in a single shot (mean energy at the position of the cells: 4.45 MeV), while the dose distribution was measured by radiochromic film placed immediately behind the cells (see supplementary material\(^{23}\) for details on the irradiation setup and cell handling). By means of a microstructured grid on the cell holder and predefined marks on the film, the dose distribution can be spatially registered to microscopic images of the cells (Fig. 4). Customized image processing tools in MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc.) allowed a registration with a spatial uncertainty of \( \pm 1 \) pixel of the scanned film (21 \( \mu \text{m} \)).

The biological response in terms of initial damage to the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was quantified using the \( \gamma \)-H2AX assay\(^{17}\) (fixation: 30 min after irradiation). Double-strand breaks in the DNA are followed by a rapid phosphorylation of the histone H2AX, leading to a local concentration of the phosphorylated form (\( \gamma \)-H2AX) at the damaged site. Since this assay works best for doses below 2 Gy (otherwise the number of foci becomes too large to differentiate and count them individually), biological results are presented here for a cell sample exposed to a maximum dose of 1.7 Gy in a single shot. Fig. 5(a) shows some of the cells of this sample (exposed with a mean dose of 1.0 Gy across the depicted region, see Fig. 4), along with an unirradiated control sample (Fig. 5(b)). The bright red spots are foci of \( \gamma \)-H2AX visualized with specific antibodies labeled with a fluorescent dye.

The inhomogeneous dose distribution across the line focus in combination with the precise spatial registration of the delivered dose and the position of each cell allowed us to obtain full dose response curves for each irradiated sample in a single shot. An example is shown in Fig. 6, where the mean number of foci per cell (determined according to Ref. 18) is plotted against the mean dose for various regions of interest (142 \( \times 10^6 \) \( \mu \text{m}^2 \)) across the area of the line focus. Each data point is the mean over \( \sim 20 \) cells (total: 273 cells from the same sample). Horizontal error bars show the standard deviation of the dose values within each region (as a measure of the inevitable dose inhomogeneity due to the steep dose gradients). The uncertainty of \( \pm 1 \) pixel in the image registration leads to an additional uncertainty of \( \pm 4\% \) in the mean dose value. Fig. 6 also gives a photon reference curve taken for the same cell line with 200 kV X-rays (\( > 150 \) cells per data point, \( \sim 1000 \) cells in total) and linear fits\(^{19}\) to

FIG. 4. Registration of the dose distribution measured by radiochromic film with the microstructured grid on the Mylar foil holding the cells. The exact location of the region of interest shown in Fig. 5(a) is indicated. Horizontal scale bar, 100 \( \mu \text{m} \).

FIG. 5. Initial DNA damage in HeLa cells. (a) Sample exposed to a mean dose of 1.0 Gy and (b) corresponding unirradiated control. Foci of \( \gamma \)-H2AX (red) and cell nuclei (blue) are shown (3D microscopy, maximum intensity projections, background correction, contrast enhanced). The red vertical bars in (a) are part of the grid used for spatial registration (Fig. 4). Horizontal scale bars, 10 \( \mu \text{m} \).

FIG. 6. Mean number of \( \gamma \)-H2AX foci per cell as a function of dose for laser-driven protons and 200 kV X-rays. Each data point for protons contains \( \sim 20 \) cells. Error bars in dose show the dose inhomogeneity (standard deviation) across the regions of interest used for evaluation.
the data (least squares fit weighted with the number of cells in each data point). The slopes are 23.7 ± 0.9 foci per cell per Gy for protons and 18.5 ± 1.0 γ/s for X-rays. In both cases, sham-irradiated control samples showed only a low number of foci (on average 0.7 foci per cell). At an effect of 30 foci per cell (corresponding to an X-ray dose of 1.5 Gy), the relative biological effectiveness (RBE, defined as the ratio of X-ray dose and proton dose required to yield the same biological effect) for the induction of repair foci turned out to be 1.3 ± 0.3. The error estimate was determined by Gaussian error propagation of the uncertainties of the X-ray dose and proton dose values required for 30 foci per cell (X-ray dose uncertainty: ±10% according to the uncertainties in the fit parameters (Fig. 6) and ±5% in absolute dosimetry (systematic); proton dose uncertainty: ±9% according to the uncertainties in the fit parameters, ±4% for image registration (±1 pixel), and ±20% in absolute dosimetry (systematic) including film calibration). This relatively large error is acceptable for a proof-of-principle experiment and will be reduced in subsequent experiments, mainly by improving the absolute proton dosimetry in the few MeV energy range.

The preliminary RBE obtained in this study is in agreement with proton RBE values in conventional beams at comparable proton energies although measured for different biological endpoints. This indicates that no new radiobiological effects are to be expected for the distinctive combination of foci (on average 0.7 foci per cell). At an effect of 30 foci per cell (corresponding to an X-ray dose of 1.5 Gy), the relative biological effectiveness (RBE, defined as the ratio of X-ray dose and proton dose required to yield the same biological effect) for the induction of repair foci turned out to be 1.3 ± 0.3. The error estimate was determined by Gaussian error propagation of the uncertainties of the X-ray dose and proton dose values required for 30 foci per cell (X-ray dose uncertainty: ±10% according to the uncertainties in the fit parameters (Fig. 6) and ±5% in absolute dosimetry (systematic); proton dose uncertainty: ±9% according to the uncertainties in the fit parameters, ±4% for image registration (±1 pixel), and ±20% in absolute dosimetry (systematic) including film calibration). This relatively large error is acceptable for a proof-of-principle experiment and will be reduced in subsequent experiments, mainly by improving the absolute proton dosimetry in the few MeV energy range.
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